WO

Court.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Carlos Garcia-Osuna	Case Number:	11-7298m	
Defendant w	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § as present and was represented by cour e detention of the defendant pending tri	nsel. I conclude by a preponderand	as submitted to the Court on <u>6/6/11</u> .ee of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk	
I find by a pr	anandaranae of the evidence that	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	eponderance of the evidence that:			
X	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant co	defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to a	appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonn	nent.	
The at the time o	f the hearing in this matter, except as no	erial findings of the Pretrial Servic oted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	es Agency which were reviewed by the Court	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of cond	ndant will flee.	appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections appeal. The of the United defendant to	defendant is committed to the custody of facility separate, to the extent practicab defendant shall be afforded a reasonab	of the Attorney General or his/her le, from persons awaiting or servin le opportunity for private consultati he Government, the person in cha	designated representative for confinement in g sentences or being held in custody pending on with defense counsel. On order of a court trge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
	APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY RELEAS		
deliver a cop	י סל the motion for review/reconsideratio	is determion order be liled with the in to Pretrial Services at least one	District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to day prior to the hearing set before the District	

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 6th day of June, 2011.

Edward C. Voss United States Magistrate Judge